App. F. IX-4

Previous References. 194 1-2 (Cons) File No. 15-41
Cons 15-41-108 Serial Nos. 161

Later References. P.C. 11E

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. One

Part B for March 42

SUBJECT.

From the Controller of Stores. M.S.R. states that a doubt is felt in fixing the rank of the Seniority of C.L. Anantharao

No. 4967 Cons 9.5.2.42

states that J.H. Mudaliyar & M.V. Narasimhaya
shd be treated as seniors

To

The Controller of Stores

MMS
11/3/42

4743, 4772, 4773

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. CRB 15-41—161 | of 194 . | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

P.n.D I

Letter No H 518/NS 7938 dy 23.1.42 from the Controller of Stores, MSRy stating that a doubt is felt in fixing the Bank & Seniority of C.L Branches as well as of the other clerks referred to in the p.n.D

P.n.D II

Petition dy 27.1.42 from J.M Made Gowda Clerk, Ry Controller's office stating that he is senior to C.L Branches has a Representation that his seniority may be retained

P.n.D III

Petition dy 27.1.42 from B.N Raja Rao, Clerk in the same unit office stating that he is senior to C.L Branches Rao

1) Orders of the Secretary, CRB on P.n.D Nos 2 to 3 — CRB Dr a note (Draft) KJ

2) Subs. The reference quoted in P.n.D No II (Viz this office letter No 4848/CRB dy 2.3.40) is flagged "O" in the linked "E" file of this unit. 27.1.42

3) The fact as to how C.L Branches Rao was considered to be senior to B.N Raja Rao, is explained in detail in paras 11 to 16 & 24 to 28 of the office notes & orders in CRB collection 15-41-108, put up.

4) In his petition dy 27.1.42, J.M Made Gowda Contends

1) That the appts made in the unit were irregular due to wrong classification of the vacancy

NOTE—Cont. Sheet.

WD/C4155—GPB—55,000—23-10-41

Serial No.

given to CL Ananthanarayana;

2) That since two candidates from the "B" list (V Subrahmanya Mudaliar & M Srinivasa) appointed prior to Ananthanarayana, resigned within 45 days of their appointment, the two vacancies should have been left out of classification and ~~Acquesh~~ that as a result thereof, he ought to have been senior to CL Ananthanarayana.

5) On a scrutiny of the appointments made in the unit, the irregular appointments made at the time & the correct ones which ought to have been made as per rules, are given side by side below:—

Irregular classificatory appointments made		Correct ones which ought to have been made
V Subrahmanya Mudaliar	Resigned within 45 days	JM Made Gowda (B_1)
M Srinivasa		MV Narasimhaiya (B_2)
MH Balaji Singh → [this appointment is irregular]		CL Ananthanarayana (B_3)
CL Ananthanarayana (B_3)		

6) In accordance of the above statements, JM Made Gowda & MV Narasimhaiya should be considered senior to CL Ananthanarayana.

Please see also para 4 of the Committee's letter of 3.10.41 & its enclosure in the matter. — P.J. 7.10.41

7) [Notes of inspection of this unit of 12.6.40, are herewith enclosed. Kind reference is invited to item no VI on page 4 of the Report of inspection. An observation to the effect that the appointments of CL Ananthanarayana candidate of the Bombay Company, are not in order & should be revised]

D 4773

Serial No.

If approved, the Unit Officer will be informed that JM Madegowda & MV Narasimhaiya should be treated as seniors to CL Annaiah Rao as two candidates of the Backward Community who were appointed prior to CL Annaiah Rao resigned within 45 days of their appointment in the Unit and JM Madegowda & MV Narasimhaiya were confirmed in their places

P.Rao 9.2.42

yes 11/2/42

Draft of a reply with proforma approval

P.Rao 12.2.42

gvs 14/II/42

Do

The Completion of Stores M.S Ry No 4967/CAB of 19.2.42

Stating that JM Madegowda & MV Narasimhaiya should be treated as seniors to CL Annaiah Rao etc

14/2

Approved 19.2 Initials

NOTE—Cont. Sheet.

WD C4155—GPB—55,000—23-10-41

Telegram : "RAILSTORES"
Telephone : No. 363.

4743/CRB [S. 1A

MYSORE STATE RAILWAY

All communications should be addressed By TITLE, Not by NAME

URGENT

Office of the Controller of Stores,

Mysore South, 23 January 1942.

No. /F1.518./AS7938

To

The Secretary,
Central Recruitment Board,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Your letter No.3936/CRB. of 19.12.41 re. appointments of C.L. Anantha Rao, &c., made in this unit.

While acknowledging the receipt of your letter under reference directing that C.L. Anantha Rao's services should be counted from -- October 1939 in consideration of his assignment so far back as 1935 and of his 2½ years' service in other unit, I have the honour to -- state that a doubt is felt in fixing the rank and seniority of this clerk as well as of the following clerks who are in service on date in this office. The date of their joining duty and their total -- service in this Department together with reference to 'E' Statement sent to the Central Recruitment Board are noted against each for -- your ready reference.

Name.	Date of entry into service.	Reference to "E" Statement.	Total service in the department as on 20.1.42. Y.	M.	D.
1. T.M.Madegowda.	12. 2.40	9572 of 21.2.40	1	11	8
2. M.V.Narasimhiah	19. 8.40 & again from 1.11.40	818-3676/21.11.40	1	3	19
3. M.Devanna	1.11.40 & again from 20.1.41	-do-	1	2	2
4. T.N.Sivalingappa	31. 3.41	2535-10181/2.5.41	0	9	20
5. B.N.Raja Rao.	7. 4.41	-do-	0	9	13
6. C.L.Anantha Rao	17.X.39	4750 of 24.X.39	2	3	3

2. The reasons for wrong classification and consequent irregular appointments have been fully set forth in this office No.A/S 1056-3404 of 3.X.41. There are only four temporary appointments on Rs 25/- each per mensem and one on Rs 40/- per mensem to last till 30.6.42 as per existing Government Sanction and another leave vacancy on Rs 25/- per mensem which will last till 31.1.42. Against the post of Rs 40/- which is a class I vacancy no graduate has stuck up so far; hence a class II candidate has been working on Rs 25/-.

3. I beg to request you to kindly give me a ruling how the seniority and rank of the above clerks should be reckoned in fixing them against six posts referred to in para 2 supra and who should

displaced when a class I candidate turns up for the post on Rs 40/- and when leave vacancy ceases on 1.2.42. Their places may kindly be fixed. Also the fact that B.N.Raja Rao was the right person who should have been called fc in place of C.L.Anantha Rao in October 1939, may be -- favourably viewed.

I solicit the favour of very early orders in the matter as an office order has to be issued to claim the salary of items 4 and 6 referred to in para one above fron 24.12.41.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Bhimappa

Ag. Controller of Stores.

BNR

23/12

4772
C.R.B.

To

The Secretary,
Central Recruitment Board,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to bring to your kind notice that in your recent letter dated December 1941 re: seniority of Mr.B.N.Raja Rao and Mr.C.L.Anantha Rao, it was ruled that Mr.C.L.Anatha Rao to be senior to Mr.B.N.Raja Rao counting his se-rvices from 17-10-1939.

On receipt of this letter in Controller of Stores Office, a proposal was made counting the seniority of Mr.C.L.Anantha Rao over me according to date of appointments.

The appointment of Mr.C.L.Anantha Rao in the unity of Controller of Stores was a matter of objection by the Central Recruitment Board from the very beginning in 1939 i.e., in letter No.4848/C.R.B.dated 2-3-1940. In 1940 also during the inspection of Controller of Stores Unit, the Central Recruitment Board ordered in para 6 of the Inspection Report stating that the appointment of Mr.C.L.Anantha Rao was irregular and it should be regularised. According to those instructions, the arrangement was done by Mr.A.Viswanath, the then Controlla of Stores in office, by not counting 2 Special appointments of Messrs.V.Subramaniam and M.Srinivasa who resigned within 45 days and in their places myself and one Mr.Narasimiah were counted and Mr.C.L. Ananatha Rao brought down below Mr.Narasimhiah to make his appointment regular according to C.R.B.orders referred to above.

In spite of long and lengthy correspondence over the appointment of Mr.C.L.Anantha Rao, and settlement of his place below me and Mr.Narasimhiah, again a reference is now made to Central Recruitment Board about his place. In this recent letter i.e., dated 23-1-1942, to Central Recruitment Board, no reference is made to previous correspondence on the subject. It is made as though it is a new subject and his seniority has to be fixed anew by the C.R.B. from date of Mr.C.L.Anantha Rao's appointment alone though it has already been settled in C.R.B's letter No.4848 dated 2-3-1940.

In the circumstances represented above, I beg of you to kindly retain my seniority in tact which is fixed according to classification

P.T.O.

classification and orders of C.R.B. in the matter.

I beg toremain,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. Mc. Madev Gowda
Clerk.
Office of the Controller of Stores
Mys. State Railway.
Mysore

27-1-1942.

C.R.(1) Forwarded 27/1/42

4773
CRB

To

The Secretary,
Central Recruitment Board,
B A N G A L O R E .

Sir,

With the kind permission of my Officer, I, the undersigned clerk in the Office of the Controller of Stores, beg to submit the following for your kind and sympathetic consideration.

My name was allotted to this unit as per C.R.B. General List No. 6642 of 22-2-1938 and I was the fifth candidate in that list.

But the Office appointed a candidate by name C.L. Anantha Rao, on 17-10-1939 whose name had appeared in a subsequent C.R.B. General List No. 1186 of 23-8-1939 entirely ignoring my name and after the appointment of 5 to 6 other candidates in the C.R.B. Special List, I was called for and appointed on 7-4-1941.

I had given two reminders to this Unit Officer on 30-1-1939 and 26-4-39 and one to your goodself in person in the month of September 1939.

In spite of my two reminders the reason for neglecting to call me on 17-10-39 is a matter for your kind consideration. Besides this, C.R.B. in the inspection of this Office in the year 1940 could not find out this mistake since the C.R.B. list and files were kept in a state of confusion as remarked by you in para three of your inspection report.

On my personal representation to the Ex. Controller of Stores regarding the injustice done to me the Officer was kind enough to order without infringing the C.R.B. Rules that I should be confirmed first before the confirmation of C.L. Anantha Rao, after completely considering all the aspects of the case and also the grievances put forth by C.L. Anantha Rao at that time.

An Office Order was also put up restoring my place and a copy of which was also sent to the Auditor, Mysore State Railway, Mysore

The Ex. Controller of Stores had even stated that a mistake in my case was set right and while confirming I should be confirmed first in para 23 of the Memo of Instructions given by him while handing over charge of the Office on 31-7-1941, a copy of which was also sent to the General Manager, Mysore State Railway, Mysore. Besides this an entry to this effect was also made by the Same Officer in the personal file of C.L. Anantha Rao.

While restoring my place too, I was not given the actual place which C.L. Anantha Rao was holding from the date of his appointment to the end of July 1941, but a place below T.M. Made Gowda and M.V. Naresimhiah was given stating that the very appointment of C.L. Anantha Rao was even wrong in view point of classification. Then also I accepted the post given to me.

Consequent to this change and due to the transfer of Ex. - Controller of Stores as District Engineer, Bangalore City, the said C.L. Anantha Rao made an appeal to you against the above order - requesting to give him a ruling taking into consideration mainly his services of 1½ years from 17-10-39 to 7-4-41 due to the - erroneous call and appointment made in this Office in preference to a man whose name had been registered in the C.R.B. List nearly 1½ years prior to the date of his registration.

Sir, I could not represent my case to you earlier or even at the very same time when C.L. Anantha Rao represented his case to you since the Office itself had given me a place setting right a mistake.

Now your goodself have ruled that C.L. Anantaha Rao should be treated as senior to me considering his earlier wrong appointment made in this Office and also counting his services in some other different Department which has no connection to this Unit since it was not a transfer.

While placing before your goodself the above facts I beg to bring the following points for your kind consideration.-

(1) I would have earned the same service by virtue of which the claim of J.L. Anantha Rao was established over me if appointments had been made in the serial order of your list and the question of his services in some other department would not have risen.

(2) For no mistake of mine I was deprived of the salary and other privileges of the Government Service for the period the other man had enjoyed and eventually left me without a place too.

(3) That in compensation of my loosing the finance, service and other privileges I was graciously and justifiably given a place and that will also be lost.

(4) If I am not given a place once restored by the Ex. Controller of Stores by setting right a mistake it will be a greatest injusticeto me.

(5) I left the job I had in the Transport Co. in preference to the present one as this being a Government Service and now it will be a very hard hit if I am thrown out since it is not possible to secure a job elsewhere.

In view of all these facts I humbly pray your goodself to kindly give me my original place as per priority.

For which act of kindness I shall ever remain grateful,

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Bangalore.
27th Jan. 1942.

Bu. Raja Rao
Clerk.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 4.2.42

Signed by .....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 4967

To

The Controller of Stores,
M S Rly, Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 71/518/AS 7938 dt 23-1-42, I am directed to state that Sri M Madegowda & M V Narasimhiya should be treated as seniors to C L Anantha Rao as the two candidates of the backward Community who were appointed prior to C L Anantha Rao, resigned within 45 days of their appointment in the unit

WD 086—GPB—40,000—30-7-40

and JM Made Gowda + MV
Narasimhaiya were counted
in their places

I have etc

14/11/2022

Sd/ CRO

V.P.